

## **General Disclaimer**

### **One or more of the Following Statements may affect this Document**

- This document has been reproduced from the best copy furnished by the organizational source. It is being released in the interest of making available as much information as possible.
- This document may contain data, which exceeds the sheet parameters. It was furnished in this condition by the organizational source and is the best copy available.
- This document may contain tone-on-tone or color graphs, charts and/or pictures, which have been reproduced in black and white.
- This document is paginated as submitted by the original source.
- Portions of this document are not fully legible due to the historical nature of some of the material. However, it is the best reproduction available from the original submission.

E82-10003

CTR-169032

SR-P2-04236  
NAS9-15466

A Joint Program for  
Agriculture and  
Resources Inventory  
Surveys Through  
Aerospace  
Remote Sensing

March 1982

# AgRISTARS

"Made available under NASA sponsor  
in the interest of early and wide dis-  
semination of Earth Resources Survey  
Program information and without liability  
for any use made thereof."

## Supporting Research

### Technical Report

## Spectral Estimates of Solar Radiation Intercepted by Corn Canopies

by C.S.T. Daughtry, K.P. Gallo and M.E. Bauer

(E82-10003) SPECTRAL ESTIMATES OF SOLAR  
RADIATION INTERCEPTED BY CORN CANOPIES  
(Purdue Univ.) 17 p HC A02/MF A01 CSCL 20F

N82-26742

Unclas

G3/43 00003

Purdue University  
Laboratory for Applications of Remote Sensing  
West Lafayette, Indiana 47907



SR-P2-04236  
NAS9-15466  
LARS 030182

Spectral Estimates of Solar Radiation Intercepted by Corn Canopies

C.S.T. Daughtry, K.P. Gallo and M.E. Bauer

Purdue University  
Laboratory for Applications of Remote Sensing  
West Lafayette, IN 47907

February 1982

# Star Information Form

1. Report No. SR-P2-04236		2. Government Accession No.		3. Recipient's Catalog No.	
4. Title and Subtitle  The Spectral Estimates of Solar Radiation Intercepted by Corn Canopies				5. Report Date February, 1982	
				6. Performing Organization Code	
7. Author(s) C.S.T. Daughtry, K.P. Gallo and M.E. Bauer				8. Performing Organization Report No. LARS 030182	
9. Performing Organization Name and Address Purdue University Laboratory for Applications of Remote Sensing 1220 Potter Drive West Lafayette, Indiana 47906				10. Work Unit No.	
				11. Contract or Grant No. NAS9-15466	
12. Sponsoring Agency Name and Address NASA Johnson Space Center Earth Resources Research Division Houston, Texas 77058				13. Type of Report and Period Covered Technical	
				14. Sponsoring Agency Code	
15. Supplementary Notes					
<p>16. Abstract</p> <p>If agronomic variables related to yield could be reliably estimated from multi-spectral satellite data, then crop growth and yield models could be implemented for large areas. The objective of this experiment was to develop models for combining spectral and meteorological data in crop yield models which are capable of providing accurate estimates of crop yields. Initial tests of this concept are presented.</p> <p>Reflectance factor data were acquired with a Landsat band radiometer throughout two growing seasons for corn (<u>Zea mays</u> L.) canopies differing in planting dates, populations, and soil types. Agronomic data collected included leaf area index (LAI), biomass, development stage, and final grain yields.</p> <p>The spectral variable, greenness, was associated with 78 percent of the variation in LAI over all treatments. Single observations of LAI or greenness have limited value in predicting corn yields. The proportions of solar radiation intercepted (SRI) by these canopies were estimated using either measured LAI or greenness. Both SRI estimates, when accumulated over the growing season, accounted for approximately 65 percent of the variation in yields. Models which simulated the daily effects of weather and intercepted solar radiation on growth had the highest correlations to grain yields. This concept of estimating intercepted solar radiation using spectral data represents a viable approach for merging spectral and meteorological data for crop yield models.</p>					
17. Key Words (Suggested by Author(s))  Remote sensing, reflectance, satellite, yield modeling, <u>Zea mays</u> L.			18. Distribution Statement		
19. Security Classif. (of this report)		20. Security Classif. (of this page)		21. No. of Pages	
				22. Price	

## INTRODUCTION

In recent years the world's food situation has emphasized the need for timely information on world-wide crop production. Relatively few countries, however, have reliable methods for gathering and reporting crop production information.

Remote sensing from aerospace platforms can provide information about crops and soils which could be useful to crop production forecasting systems. The feasibility of utilizing multispectral data from satellites to identify and measure crop area has been demonstrated (14) relatively little research has been conducted on developing the capability of using multispectral data to provide information about crop condition and yield. If this spectrally derived information can be combined effectively with crop models which depict limitations imposed on crop yields by weather and climate, then potentially much better information about crop yield and production can be gained.

Solar radiation is the source of energy for photosynthesis, the initial process that green plants use to convert carbon dioxide and water into simple sugars. Other plant processes convert these initial products of photosynthesis into dry matter including carbohydrates, proteins, and oils. Solar radiation is available as an energy source for plants only when it interacts with leaves. In a healthy crop adequately supplied with water, the production of dry matter is proportional to the solar radiation intercepted by the canopy. Thus, important components of growth and yield are the amount and duration of plant surface available for photosynthesis (2,4).

In theory, the production of dry matter (DM) over time period  $t$ , beginning at emergence and ending at maturity, can be related to the proportion ( $P$ ) of the incident light ( $SR$ ) intercepted by the crop using the following equation from Steven (1982):

$$DM = \int_e^m E P SR dt. \quad [1]$$

$E$  is the efficiency of conversion of solar energy into dry matter and typically ranges from 1 to 3 g/M Joule (15). This equation can be used to predict dry matter production if  $P$  is known. Current methods to measure the radiation intercepted by crops are laborious and limit use of such crop models to small research plots. If the proportion of energy available for crop growth could be estimated reliably using multispectral satellite data, then the capability to estimate crop production for large regions should be improved significantly.

In practice, although solar radiation is essential for photosynthesis, it is only one of several factors interacting to influence crop yields. Other factors essential to crop growth and yield are water, temperature, nutrients, and carbon dioxide. Any serious and comprehensive effort to estimate crop yields also must assess the impact of these other factors.

Our overall objective is to develop methods for combining spectral and meteorological data in crop yield models which are capable of providing accurate estimates of crop condition and yields throughout the growing season. This paper presents our initial tests of these concepts using spectral and agronomic data acquired in controlled experiments. Future research will extend those methods that best estimate yields at agricultural experiment stations to large areas using spectral data acquired by satellites.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Design of Experiment

Spectral and agronomic data used in these analyses were acquired at the Purdue University Agronomy Farm in 1979 and 1980. A full season corn (*Zea mays* L.) hybrid, Becks 65X, was grown on two soil types. When dry, the Chalmers silt loam (Typic Argiaquoll) had a dark gray (10YR 4/1) surface while the Toronto silt loam (Udolic Ochraqualf) had a light gray (10YR 6/1) surface. These two soils were spectrally distinct in both visible and near infrared reflectance factor (11).

Prior to planting 200, 50, and 95 kg/ha of N, P, and K respectively were applied uniformly to both soils to minimize the risk that corn growth might be limited by nutrient availability. Daily meteorological data were recorded at the cooperative National Weather Service station (West Lafayette 6NW) which was within 200 m of the fields. Incoming solar radiation was measured with an Eppley Precision Spectral Pyranometer and recorded as total Langley's/day ( $\text{cal cm}^{-2} \text{ day}^{-1}$ ). Daily maximum and minimum air temperatures were measured with liquid-in-glass thermometers in a standard shelter. Soil moisture in the top 105 cm (depth of drainage tiles) was estimated using a soil moisture balance model (19).

Within each soil type two completely randomized blocks with three plant populations (25,000, 50,000, and 75,000 plants/ha) were planted in 76 cm wide north-south rows on 2, 16 and 30 May 1979 and 7, 22 May and 11 June 1980. Additional plots of the 50,000 plants/ha treatments were planted on 16, 29 May, 18 June, and 3 July 1980. These treatments represented a wide range of planting dates and plant populations expected in corn fields in Indiana.

### Canopy Characterization

Agronomic variables measured and observed coincidentally with reflectance factor measurements included: plant height, leaf area index (LAI), development stage (8), total fresh and dry biomass, dry stalk (including leaf sheath), ear, and green leaf blade (lamina) weights. Percent soil cover (defined as the percentage of soil covered by vegetation) was determined by placing a grid over a vertical photograph

and counting the intersections occupied by green vegetation. Crop biomass was estimated by harvesting three plants in 1979 and four plants in 1980 from each plot. Each sample was weighed immediately (fresh weight), separated into its components, dried at 75° C and reweighed. The area of a random subsample of green leaf blades from each plot was measured with an electronic area meter (LI-COR, Model LI-3000) and the green leaf area to leaf dry weight ratio was calculated. Leaf area index was calculated using this leaf area/weight ratio, the total dry weight of green leaves from all plants sampled, and the soil area represented. Grain was harvested by hand from the center four rows of each plot (11.6 m<sup>2</sup>), dried, weighed, and corrected to 15.5 percent moisture. Visual assessment of the soil moisture and crop condition were made during the spectral data collection. Crop condition assessment included evaluations of lodging and hail and insect damage.

### Spectral Measurements

Radiance measurements, used to determine reflectance factor, were acquired with a Landsat-band radiometer (Exotech 100) throughout the growing season in each year. Robinson and Biehl (1979) describe the conditions and procedures for obtaining the RF data. The Exotech 100 has a 15 degree field of view and acquired data in the following wavelength regions: 0.5-0.6, 0.6-0.7, 0.7-0.8, and 0.8-1.1  $\mu$ m. Data were taken only when there were no clouds over or in the vicinity of the sun and when the solar elevation was at least 45 degrees above the horizon.

The radiometer and a 35-mm camera were attached to a boom mounted on a pickup truck and elevated 5.2 m above the soil in 1979 and 7.6 m in 1980. After the instruments were leveled for a nadir look angle, two measurements were taken - one centered over the row and one centered between rows - in each plot to better estimate the overall canopy response (5). A color photograph which included the area viewed by the radiometer was taken vertically over each plot and used to determine percent soil cover.

### Analysis

Two methods of estimating the proportion of solar radiation intercepted by corn canopies were examined. First, the proportion of intercepted radiation ( $SRI_m$ ) was described as a function of measured LAI using the following equation from Linvill et al. (1978):

$$SRI_m = [1 - \exp(-0.79 LAI)]. \quad [2]$$

This is an application of Bouguer's Law using LAI and an extinction coefficient of -0.79. When LAI is 0, no energy is intercepted. When LAI is 2.8, approximately 90% of the visible solar radiation is intercepted by the canopy and is potentially useful to the crop.

The second method estimates SRI as a function of the spectral variable greenness using the following equation:

$$SRI_s = -0.1613 + 0.0811 G - 0.0015 G^2 \quad [3]$$

where G is the green vegetation index or "greenness" for reflectance factor data (13). Greenness was calculated as follows: Greenness =  $(-0.4894 RF_1 + -0.6125 RF_2 + 0.1729 RF_3 + 0.5953 RF_4)$ , where  $RF_1$  to  $RF_4$  refer to the reflectance factor in each of four bands of the radiometer. This spectrally estimated proportion of radiation intercepted is called  $SRI_s$  to distinguish it from  $SRI_m$  which is estimated using measured LAI.

Factors involved in determining the interception of radiation by vegetation include solar azimuth and zenith angles, spectral properties of canopy elements, leaf area index, leaf angle distribution, leaf size and shape, and leaf movement due to wind, wilting, and phototropism (16). The concept of a simple exponential extinction of radiation appears to be widely applicable. Norman (1980) presents a summary of extinction coefficients reported for various canopies and sun elevation angles. For corn the extinction coefficients ranged from -1.5 for low sun angles ( $10^\circ$ ) to -0.56 for high solar elevation angles ( $70^\circ$ ). The extinction coefficient used in this research is within the range of values presented by Norman for approximately 45 degree solar elevation. Additional research is needed to characterize and model the changes in extinction coefficient if this approach is to be used quantitatively.

$SRI_s$  predicted as a function of greenness and  $SRI_m$  predicted as a function of measured LAI were calculated for each day that appropriate spectral and agronomic data were acquired and linearly interpolated for intermediate days throughout the growing season for each plot. Daily values of  $SRI_s$  and  $SRI_m$  were accumulated from planting to physiological maturity. Direct correlations of final grain yields with these accumulated indexes were examined.

To account for variability in temperature and plant water status, the performances of spectrally estimated  $SRI_s$  and measured  $SRI_m$  were compared using the Energy-Crop Growth (ECG) model (3,4) which combines the concept of intercepted solar radiation with a moisture stress term and a temperature function. The ECG model used was:

$$ECG_m = \sum_{i=\text{plant}}^{\text{mature}} (SR_i/600)(SRI_{m_i})(WF_i)(FT_i) \quad [4]$$

where SR is the daily solar radiation in  $\text{cal cm}^{-2} \text{ day}^{-1}$  and 600 is the approximate latent heat of water ( $\text{cal cm}^{-3}$ ), WF is the ratio of daily evapotranspiration to potential evapotranspiration (19), and FT is a daily temperature function (3). For the spectral ECG<sub>s</sub> model  $SRI_s$  was substituted directly for  $SRI_m$  in equation [4].



## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### Relation of Canopy Reflectance to LAI and SRI

Leaf area index (LAI) and the proportion of solar radiation intercepted ( $SRI_g$ ) by these corn canopies were described as functions of several spectral variables and transformations using regression analyses. Previous research has indicated that greenness is highly correlated to LAI and percent soil cover but relatively insensitive to soil color (11,20) in each year and for the combined data greenness predicted SRI better (higher  $R^2$ ) than LAI.

The relationships of LAI and SRI to greenness for all plots of corn in 1979 and 1980 are shown in Figure 1. Undoubtedly planting date, plant population, and soil type contributed to the scatter about the regression line, but when included as terms in the regression models, they contributed very little additional information. Errors in measuring LAI also account for a portion of the scatter. Nevertheless, LAI and  $SRI_g$  predicted as a function of greenness permit the concepts and models developed at the Purdue Agronomy Farm to be used in situations where only spectral data may be available.

The response of greenness to LAI appears asymptotic for LAIs greater than approximately 5.0. This is consistent with infinite LAI reflectance of single leaves where visible reflectance was minimized with two layers of leaves and near infrared reflectance was maximized with six to eight layers (6). Furthermore, since most of the incoming radiation is intercepted by canopies with LAIs less than 4.0 the importance of accurately estimating LAIs greater than 4.0 is greatly diminished. The critical value of LAI - when 90 percent or more of the radiation is intercepted - increases as the extinction coefficient decreases. Factors affecting the extinction coefficients of crop canopies have been described (2, 16).

### Relation of Spectral Variables to Yield

Corn is a determinant crop; that is, corn completes its vegetative development, producing all of its leaves, then shifts to reproductive development, producing and filling its grain. If corn grain yields are strongly related to the total amount of green leaf area present, then knowing the maximum LAI or maximum greenness of corn should be an important predictor of grain yields. Figure 2 illustrates the errors of such logic. Grain yields are plotted as a function of maximum values of greenness which occurred near tasseling. The poor relationship shown in Figure 2 emphasizes the limited value of a single observation of greenness for predicting corn yields.

A more important and useful indicator of grain yields is the seasonal duration of LAI and not simply the maximum LAI achieved. Previous researchers have reached similar conclusions for other crops (4,12,18). Two variables which represent the integral of LAI over time during the growing season are accumulations of the daily values of  $SRI_m$

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY




Figure 1. Relationships of leaf area index (LAI) solar radiation intercepted ( $SRI_s$ ) to the spectral variable greenness.  $LAI = (-0.1364 + 0.0647 \text{ Greenness} + 0.0047 \text{ Greenness}^2)$ .  $SRI_s = (-0.1613 + 0.0811 \text{ Greenness} - 0.0015 \text{ Greenness}^2)$ .

ORIGINAL PAGE IS  
OF POOR QUALITY




Figure 2. Corr. grain yields as a function of maximum greenness.

and  $SRI_g$ . These summed values of  $SRI_m$  and  $SRI_g$  represent the proportions of the solar radiation impinging on the corn field during the growing season that were intercepted by the corn canopy and thus were potentially available for photosynthesis (Figure 3). Both estimates of intercepted solar radiation were associated with approximately 65 percent of the variation in grain yields.

One problem in crop response to solar radiation is the confounding of solar radiation, temperature, and plant moisture stress on plant growth and yields. Thus applicability of the theoretical model presented in Eq. [1] is extremely limited. Several researchers have demonstrated for various crops that the reduction in crop growth is proportional to the reduction in evapotranspiration (ET) from potential evapotranspiration (PET) (4,7,9,10). Coelho and Dale (1980) combined temperature and moisture stress with an estimate of intercepted solar radiation using measured LAI in an Energy-Crop Growth (ECG) model, Eq. [4]. They used this model to simulate the daily effects of weather on corn growth.

The sum of the daily values of ECG using  $SRI$  or  $SRI$  to estimate intercepted solar radiation are plotted with grain yields in Figure 4. Both of the ECG models have slightly larger coefficients of determination ( $r^2$ ) than the  $SRI_g$  and  $SRI_m$  models. Although the ten planting date-years represented a range of temperature and day length regimes, significant water stress was not evident among the treatments. In this case, both of the intercepted radiation variables ( $SRI_g$  and  $SRI_m$ ) alone were nearly as highly correlated to yields as the meteorological (ECG) models. In situations where moisture or temperature limits yield, it is postulated that either of the two ECG models should be superior to the intercepted solar radiation models for predicting corn yields.

The concept of combining spectrally derived estimates of  $SRI_g$  with meteorological models should permit implementation of crop growth and yield models for large areas. A spectral-meteorological system of crop forecasting could exploit the frequent temporal sampling of weather data (e.g. daily or hourly) with the high spatial resolution typical of earth observing satellites (e.g. Landsat MSS).

An example of this concept showing how multispectral data from satellites could be used to estimate crop yields is presented in Figure 5. Percent soil cover and leaf area index strongly influence the reflectance of radiation from crop canopies (11,20). Estimates of percent soil cover and leaf area index, obtained from Landsat MSS data along with daily solar radiation from ground stations or meteorological satellites (1) will permit calculation of the amount of radiation intercepted by crops. This intercepted solar radiation variable combined with observed temperature and precipitation data and integrated over the growing season should account for much of the variation in corn yields. The multispectral data from satellites would form the basis for estimating crop growth and yields over regions where ground observations may be difficult or impossible to obtain.




Figure 3. Corn grain yields as a function of the accumulated proportions of solar radiation intercepted by the corn canopies.  $SRI_M$  is estimated using measured LAI and  $SRI_S$  is estimated using spectral data. The symbols are relative planting dates for the two years with "0" representing the first planting date in 1979 and "9" representing the last planting date in 1980.




Figure 4. Corn grain yields as a function of the accumulated Energy-Crop Growth variable.  $ECG_M$  is calculated using  $SRI_M$  and  $ECG_S$  with  $SRI_S$ . The symbols are relative planting dates for the two years with "0" representing the first planting date in 1979 and "9" representing the last planting date in 1980.

# Examples of Concepts and Relationships Being Modeled for Estimation of Yields Using SRI




Figure 5. An overview of the concept proposed for combining spectral and meteorological data in crop yield models.

## SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

Spectral and agronomic data for corn were acquired throughout two growing seasons. Two variables,  $SRI_m$  and  $SRI_s$ , representing the proportions of solar radiation potentially available for photosynthesis were estimated.  $SRI_m$  was calculated by Bouguer's Law using measured LAI while  $SRI_s$  was estimated using the spectral variable of greenness.

Various methods or models for predicting yields were examined in this research. One method using a single observation of the maximum greenness had the lowest correlation with corn grain yields. Methods which integrated spectral or meteorological variables over the growing season (e.g.,  $SRI_m$ ,  $SRI_s$ ) accounted for over half of the variation in grain yields. Models which simulated the daily effects of weather on corn growth (e.g.,  $ECG_m$ ,  $ECG_s$ ) had the highest correlations to grain yields.

We conclude from this research that the concept of estimating intercepted solar radiation using spectral data represents a viable approach for merging spectral and meteorological data in crop yield models. This scheme is consistent with the spectral-physiological modeling approaches proposed by Wiegand et al. (1979) but may avoid some of the problems associated estimating LAI directly from spectral data. This concept may be extended to large areas using Landsat MSS data to estimate  $SRI_s$  for as many fields as are of interest. We are currently assembling the necessary data to evaluate this concept using Landsat MSS data from commercial fields in the U.S. Corn Belt.



## REFERENCES

1. Brakke, T.W. and E.T. Kanemasu. 1981. Insolation estimation from satellite measurements of reflected radiation. *Remote Sensing Environment* 11:157-167.
2. Brown, R.H. and R.E. Blaser. 1968. Leaf area index in pasture growth. *Herbage Abstr.* 38:1-9.
3. Coelho, D.T. and R.F. Dale. 1980. An energy-crop growth variable and temperature function for predicting corn growth and development: planting to silking. *Agron. J.* 72:503-510.
4. Dale, R.F. 1977. An energy-crop growth variable for identifying weather effects upon maize yield. p. 240-248. In W. Baier, R., H. Shaw, L.M. Thompson, and R.E. Felch (ed.) *Agrometeorology of the maize (corn) crop*. Proc. Symp. Agrometeorol. Maize (corn) crop, World Meteorol. Organ., Iowa State Univ., Ames, Iowa, USA. 5-9 July 1976. WMO No. 481. Geneva, Switzerland.
5. Daughtry, C.S.T., V.C. Vanderbilt, and V.J. Pollara. 1982. Variability of reflectance measurements with sensor altitude and canopy type. *Agron. J.* 74:(in press).
6. Gausman, H.W., R.R. Rodriguez, and A.J. Richardson. 1968. Infinite reflectance of dead compared with live vegetation. *Agron. J.* 68:295-296.
7. Hanks, R.J. 1974. Model for predicting plant yield as influenced by water use. *Agron. J.* 66:660-665.
8. Hanway, J.J. 1963. Growth stages of corn (*Zea mays* L.) *Agron. J.* 55:487-492.
9. Hill, R.W., D.R. Johnson, and K.H. Ryan. 1979. A model for predicting soybean yields from climatic data. *Agron. J.* 71:251-260.
10. Kanemasu, E.T., L.R. Stone, and W.L. Powers. 1976. Evapotranspiration model tested for soybean and sorghum. *Agron. J.* 68:509-572.
11. Kollenkark, J.C., C.S.T. Daughtry, and M.E. Bauer. 1981. Influence of cultural practices on the reflectance characteristics of soybean canopies. Tech. Report 021781. Lab. Applic. Remote Sensing, Purdue Univ., West Lafayette, IN.
12. Linvill, D.E., R.F. Dale, and H.F. Hodges. 1978. Solar radiation weighting for weather and corn growth models. *Agron. J.* 70:257-263.

13. Malila, W.A. and J.M. Gleason. 1977. Investigators of spectral separability of small grains, early season wheat detection and multicrop inventory planning. Tech. Report 122700-34-F. Environmental Research Institute of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI.
14. MacDonald, R.B. and F.G. Hall. 1980. Global crop forecasting. Science 208:670-679.
15. Monteith, J.L. (ed.) 1976. Vegetation and the atmosphere. Vol. 2. Case studies. Academic Press, New York. p. 439.
16. Norman, J.M. 1980. Interfacing leaf and canopy light interception models. p. 49-67. In J.D. Hesketh and J.W. Jones (eds.) Predicting photosynthesis of ecosystem models. Vol. 2. CRC Press.
17. Robinson, B.F. and L.L. Biehl. 1979. Calibration procedures for measurement of reflectance factor in remote sensing field research. 196:16-26. Proc. Soc. Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engr. 23rd Annual Tech. Symp. on Measurement of Optical Radiation. Bellingham, WA 98225. U.S.A.
18. Steven, M.D. 1982. Optical remote sensing for predictions of crop yields. Proc. Soc. Photo-Optical Inst. Engr. (In Press).
19. Stuff, R.G. and R.F. Dale. 1978. A soil moisture budget model accounting for shallow water table influences. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 42:637-643.
20. Walburg, G., M.E. Bauer, C.S.T. Daughtry and T.L. Housley. 1982. Effects of nitrogen nutrition on the growth, yield and reflectance characteristics of corn canopies. LARS Tech. Report 033181. Lab. Applic. Remote Sensing, Purdue Univ., West Lafayette, IN.
21. Wiegand, C.L., A.J. Richardson, and E.T. Kanemasu. 1979. Leaf area index estimates for wheat from Landsat and their implications for evapotranspiration and crop modeling. Agron. J. 71:336-342.